

Isolation of Some Bacterial and Mycotic Infection from Eyes of Dogs in Baghdad

D.O.I - 10.51201/12515

<https://doi.org/10.51201/12515>

Amanee M. Radhy 1, Naseir Mohammed Badawi2* Mohammad Mushgil Zenad 3 and Abdul-Raheem Abdul-Jalil Wali4

Department of Internal and Preventive Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Baghdad University, Iraq 1,2 and 3

Baghdad Veterinary Hospital 4

ABSTRACT

*The aim of the present study was to detect the fungal and bacterial pathogens that infected eyes dogs as pathogenic and non-pathogenic and chance associated with Canine Distemper Virus (CDV). Thirty eyes infected dogs and ten healthy dogs were came to the Baghdad veterinary hospital, through the period from the March 2016 to the April 2017, the eyes infected dogs appeared signs severe eyes inflammation, lacrimation, eye redness, anorexia, respiratory signs and pus in eyes, followed by clinical examination to the affected dogs, culturing of swabs for estimated the bacterial and fungal pathogens and laboratory diagnosis of suspected dogs on CDV, the results exposed significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the bacterial sporadic causative agent (53.34%) compare to other types of causative agents, significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the *Staphylococcus* spp. (32.14%) and *Escherichia coli* (17.8%) compared with other types of pathogens isolates, the rate of eyes infection was significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) in March and April, the rate of eyes infection was significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) was in Terrier and German shepherd,); the eyes infection rate was increased significantly in males dogs compared to the females dogs and non-effect of age on the infection rate.*

Key words: Eyes Infection. Fungal, Bacteria, CDV, Dog, Baghdad.

INTRODUCTION

The normal flora may be became opportunistic pathogens, bacteria and fungi are more commonly isolated (Asghari and Gharachorlou, 2011). The most of bacterial isolations in different animals are gram positive bacteria are *Staphylococcus* at the first (Gemensky et al., 2005). also, gram negative and fungal species are isolates as normal ocular flora of the dog, the organisms isolate in ocular depend on the age, sex, geographical area and the climate (Grahn, 2004). Prado (2005) reported in Brasil the common isolated microorganisms from healthy eyes dogs were *Staphylococcus intermedius*. While common pathogens *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Neisseria* spp., *Lactobacillus* spp., *Pasteurella canis*, *Alcaligenes faecalis*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Francisella* spp. and commonly microorganisms isolated from eyes with disease clinical signs are *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Pseudomonas* spp. (Miller and Murphy, 1995; Salisbury et al., 1995; Massa et al., 1999; Haghkhah et al., 2005). The types of

organisms are differences according the geographic area (Gerding and Kakoma, 1990) and the prevalence rates difference according to results dog breed, season, geography and climate (Wang et al., 2008). The eye is typically resistant to primary infection due to the many cellular and molecular factors that protect eyes against pathogens include corneal nerves, tears, the keratocytes, epithelium, cytokines and polymorpho nuclear cells (Akpek and Gottsch, 2003).

The aim of this study was to isolation and determine of the fungal and bacteria that associated with eye inflammation in dogs are received at Baghdad veterinary hospital through March 2016 to the April 2017.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimen collection

Thirty dogs (6 females and 24 males) were examined at a small animal clinic in Baghdad veterinary hospital, during the period from March 2016 to the April 2017. The dogs were suffered from severe eyes inflammation, lacrimation, eye redness and other signs of ocular diseases, these dogs prepared to bacterial and fungal isolation. On the other hand, ten healthy dogs are examined and prepared also to bacterial and fungal isolation as control group. Sterile cotton swab with gently sample should be taken from corneal and conjunctiva area of infected eyes. Two swabs were taken; first without transport medium for fungal cultivation and other swab were used with transport medium for bacterial isolation, then removed cover swab and avoid contact with eyelashes or skin of the eyelids. Placed swab on ice within 24 hours for laboratory culture (Leigue et al., 2016).

Fungal culturing and identification

Swabs specific for fungal were deeply cultured on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA), incubated at 37°C for seven days, then morphological characteristics of fungal identification for fungal species diagnosis, and use few drops of lacto phenol cotton blue stain add on the slide with small portion of cultured colonies and covered with the special cover slid and examined under light microscope with low power (10X) and high power (40X) (Ibrahim and Rahma, 2009), the fungal species were diagnosed according to (Cheesbrough, 2000).

Bacterial culturing and identification :

Sterile swabs with transport media were used in our study, swabs passed direct on inferior conjunctival sac of compromised eye avoiding contact with eyelashes and skin of eyelids. All swabs were inoculated on the following media (5% Sheep blood agar, MacConkey agar and Nutrient agar) at 37°C for 24 to 48 h. If no suspected colonies were observed, plates were kept in incubation for another 48 h before being considered negative. Isolated microorganisms were identified by colony macroscopic characteristics, Gram staining, and different biochemical tests, according to (Quinn, 2004).

CDV (Canine Distemper Virus) Antigen Detection Kit

Serum or plasma 2-3 drops into diluent tube and mix it. Sample immediately tested after its dilution. The presence any visible bands on the test band (T) and the control band (C) indicates a positive result (Greene and Apple, 2006) (Soma et al. 2003).

Statistical Analysis

Data were analysis by using statistical program (SPSS program version 20), chi-square test used for comparison the results at level significance of ($P \leq 0.05$).

RESULTS

The study was conducted on 40 dogs; the dogs of the study divided to two groups the first group 30 dogs suffered from severe eyes inflammation, lacrimation, eye redness, anorexia, respiratory signs and pus in eyes, second group 10 dogs was healthy without any ocular clinical signs, the culture of healthy dogs was negative for fungal and bacteria.

After microbiology culture to the fungal and bacteria the results revealed (Table 1) many causes of eye inflammation was sporadic pathogen and other was mixed pathogens with significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the bacterial sporadic causative agent (53.34%) compare to other types of causative agents while non-culture samples was (20%) significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the percentage compared to other types of the infection expect bacterial infection types. The mixed eye infection in (Table 1) was 1 case (*Klebsiella* with *Geotrichum* and CDV), 1 case (*Escherichia coli* and *Aspergillus*), 1 case (CDV with *Salmonella* spp.) 1 case (CDV with *Aspergillus* spp.)

The rate of eyes infection according to types of isolates in Baghdad (Table 2) was significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the *Staphylococcus* spp. (32.14%) and *Escherichia coli* (17.8%) compared with other types of pathogens isolates.

The rate of eyes infection according to months in Baghdad (Table 3) was increase significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) in March and April compared with other months of the years.

The rate of eyes infection according to dogs breeds in Baghdad (Table 4) was significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) was in Terrier and German shepherd compared with other types of dogs breeds. On the other hand, in the results according the sex (Table 5); the eyes infection rate was significant increase in males dogs (80%) compared to the females dogs (20%). The dogs of study classified according to age three groups (Table 6). The dogs less than 1:year, between 1-4 years and more than five year; and estimated the eyes infection rate, the results showed no significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between age groups.

Table (1). The rate of eyes infection according to mixed, sporadic or non-culture isolates in Baghdad.

Types isolates	Number of isolates	Infection rate from 30 sample
Mixed bacterial, fungal isolates and CDV	1(<i>Klebsiella</i> with <i>Geotrichum</i> and CDV)	3.34%
Mixed bacterial and fungal isolates	1(<i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Aspergillus</i>)	3.34%
Mixed bacterial and CDV	1 (with <i>Salmonella</i> spp.)	3.34%
Mixed isolates fungal and CDV	1(with <i>Aspergillus</i> spp.)	3.34%
Fungal isolates only	4	13.34%
Bacterial isolates only	16*	53.34%
Non-culture sample	6*	20%

*Refer to presence of significant value vertically at ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table (2). The rate of eyes infection according to types of isolates in Baghdad.

Types isolates	Number of isolates	Infection rate of the isolates
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.	9*	32.14%
<i>Streptococcus</i> spp.	1	3.57%
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	5*	17.8%
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	2	7.14%
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	3.57%
<i>Bacillus</i> spp.	1	3.57%
<i>Geotrichum</i> spp.	2	7.14%
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	3	10.71%
<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	1	3.57%
CDV	3	10.71%

*Refer to presence of significant value vertically at ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table (3). The rate of eyes infection according to months in Baghdad.

Months	Number of cases infected	Infection rate from 30 samples
Jan.	2	6.66%
Mar.	6*	20%
Apr.	11*	36.66%
May.	3	10%
Jun.	3	10%
Jul.	2	6.66%
Des.	3	10%

*Refer to presence of significant value vertically at ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table (4). The rate of eyes infection according to dogs breeds in Baghdad.

Breed	Number of cases infected	Infection rate from 30 samples
Husky	3	10%
Labrador	1	3.34%
Terrier	6*	20%
Rottweiler	3	10%
German shepherd	8*	26.66%
Lolo fox	2	6.66%
Pit bull	1	3.34%
Black jack	1	3.34%
fox terrier	2	6.66%
local breed	3	10%

*Refer to presence of significant value vertically at ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table (5). The rate of eyes infection according to dogs sex in Baghdad.

Sex	Number of cases infected	Infection rate from 30 samples
Male	24*	80%
Female	6	20%

*Refer to presence of significant value vertically at ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table (6). The rate of eyes infection according to dogs breeds in Baghdad.

Ages	Number of cases infected	Infection rate from 30 samples
> 1year	6	20%
< 1year-4years	11	36.6%
≤ 5 years	13	43.4%

*Refer to presence of significant value vertically at ($P \leq 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Many studies reported conjunctiva micro-flora, ten eyes swab were cultured in this study from healthy dogs without clinical signs and these cultures were negative. That is main may no normal flora in the eye conjunctiva, this result was different with many studies most general bacteria were diagnosed gram-positive and gram negative as normal eye flora include *Staphylococcus* spp., *Corynebacterium* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Corynebacterium* spp. and others (Kudirkiene et al. 2006; and Prado, 2005; Grahn, 2004). The our study revealed no growth in culture of healthy eyes dogs that is main there is no normal flora in the conjunctiva and disagree with all previous remembered studies, the interpretation of this result the conjunctiva is sterile sac and the number non pathogens organisms are cultivated from normal conjunctiva very small (Malathi et. al., 2003) because mechanically washing of the conjunctiva from foreign bodies as bacteria fungal by the tear every few seconds and locally presence lysozyme, IgG, and IgA as bacteriostatic substances and low blood supply inhibits the microorganisms growth (Singer et. al., 1988).

Staphylococcus spp. was the most frequently isolated genus, followed by *Escherichia coli*, which represented 22.5% of the isolates, this results agree with (Prado et al 2006) was detected *Staphylococcus* spp. more frequently genus (45.2%), but followed by *Corynebacterium* spp.

Junior et al (2012) reported Gram-positive bacteria (76%) more than Gram-negative in (20%) and fungal in (4%) in dogs with eyes diseases, while we reported Gram-positive bacteria (39.28%), Gram-negative in (28.51%) and fungal in (21.42%), these results of conducted study revealed statistically the eye infection in dogs bacterial in origin (67.79%) but no

significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between gram positive and gram negative bacteria, whereas the fungal was uncommon infection and significantly decrease ($P \leq 0.05$) in dogs, fungal infection, particularly occurred when the presence of predisposing factors moisture humidity, seasonal variation, immunosuppressive drugs and as secondary infection (Zenad et al., 2015).

The infection rate in the our study of signs of eyes diseases, specially conjunctivitis and lacrimation associated with canine distemper is (10.71%), CDV had many clinical signs and the suppurative conjunctivitis one of typical signs of this diseases but uncommon in occurrence (Qiu et al., 2011). The *Aspergillus* is the pathogens considered secondary to the eyes infection by *Escherichia coli* and CDV, the *Aspergillus* considered common fungal for ocular diseases in dogs (Day, 2006 and Schultz et al., 2008). The chance of eye infection by *Salmonella* spp. and diagnosis CDV occurred in one case in our study, the *Salmonella* spp. is important pathogen as secondary infection with CDV and shedding the *Salmonella* spp. in surrounded dogs environment sometimes lead to death (Shabbir et. al., 2010), Conjunctivitis has been reported in cats with predisposing factors, such as immunosuppressive or surgery, can be outbreak occurs as secondary disease in a carrier animal (Carter and Quinn, 2000). Whereas in dogs no found any reference reported conjunctivitis with *Salmonella* spp. in dogs, and our study first report conjunctivitis by *Salmonella* spp. with CDV in dogs.

Prado et al (2006) estimated twelve dogs breeds and found there was no significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) between the breeds in the incidence of eyes diseases, despite of our study recorded significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) was in Terrier and German shepherd, may be the cause of this results because increase the chance of organism isolate from long haired breeds compare to the other breeds (Kudirkienė et al. 2006) and we noticed the population of Terrier and German shepherd dogs high in Baghdad that increase the clinical cases which reached to Baghdad veterinary hospital.

Prado et. al. (2006) and Gelatt (2000) were signed increase incidence of eyes diseases in males dogs compared to females, these results compatible with present our study, the risk factor of male eyes diseases was increased because more aggressive than females, and this reason increase healthy problem in males (Matos et. al., 2015).

CONCLUSION

The normal flora in the eye conjunctiva of dogs is very small or none, *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Escherichia coli* were major pathogen of eyes infection in dogs. The fungal eyes infection is secondary infection and sources of infection to eyes from hair or skin, the seasonal variation through months is considered an essential factor in eyes infection, the CDV has important role in conjunctivitis.

REFERENCES

- Asghari A. and Gharachorlou, A. (2011) Assessing the role of proper administration of antibiotics in the treatment of corneal ulcers in dog. *Adv. Environ. Biol.* 5: 2084-2086.
- Gemensky-Metzler A.J., Wilkie D.A., Kowalski J.J., Schmall L.M., Willis A.M. and Yamagata M. (2005). Changes in bacterial and fungal ocular flora of clinically normal horses following experimental application of topical antimicrobial or antimicrobial- corticosteroid ophthalmic preparations. *Am J Vet Res.* 66: 800-811.

Grahn B. H., Peiffer R. L., Cullen C. L. and Haines D. M. (2003). *Histochemical and immunohistochemical evaluation of 75 feline intraocular neoplasms. Proceedings of Annual Meeting of the American College of Veterinary Ophthalmologists*, 20–23.

Prado M. R., Rocha M. F., Brito E. H., Girão M.D., Monteiro A.J., Teixeira M. F. and Sidrim J. J. (2005). *Survey of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. Vet. Ophthalmol.* Vol. 1. P. 33–37.

Miller P. and Murphy C. J. (1995) *Vision in dogs. J. Am. Vet. Assoc.* Vol. 207. P. 1623.

Salisbury M.A., Kaswan R. L. and Brown J. (1995) *Microorganisms isolated from the corneal surface before and during topical cyclosporine treatment in dogs with KCS, Am. J. Vet. Res.* Vol. 56. P. 880–884.

Massa K. L., Murphy C. J., Hartmann F. A., Miller P. E., Korsower C. S. and Young K.M. (1999) *Usefulness of aerobic microbial culture and cytological evaluation of corneal specimens in the diagnosis of infectious ulcerative keratitis in animals. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* Vol. 215. 1671–1674.

Haghkhah M., Sarchahi A. A. and Molazem M. (2005). *Conjunctival flora in normal dogs. Journal of Veterinary Research.* Vol. 9. P. 79–83.

Gerding PA and Kakoma I (1990). *Microbiology of the canine and feline eye. Vet. Clin. North Am.: Small Anim. Pract.* 20: 615–625.

Wang L., Pan Q., Zhang L., Xue Q., Cui J., Qi C. (2008). *Investigation of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Beijing, China. Veterinary Ophthalmology*, v. 11, n. 3, p. 145–149.

Akpek, E.K. and Gottsch, J.D. (2003). *Immune defense at the ocular surface. Eye (Lond).* 17, 949–956.

Leigue L., Montiani-Ferreira F. and Moore B.A. (2016). *Antimicrobial susceptibility and minimal inhibitory concentration of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from septic ocular surface disease in different animal species. Open Veterinary Journal*, Vol. 6(3): 215–222.

Ibrahim S and Rahma MA (2009). *Isolation and identification of fungi associated with date fruits (Phoenix dactylifera, Linn), sold at Bayero university, Kano, Nigeria, Bayero. Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 2(2): 127–130.

Cheesbrough M (2000). *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries Part 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, PP: 47–54.

Greene CE and Apple MJ (2006). *Canine Distemper In: Infectious Diseases of the dog and cat. Greene CE, Saunders*, 3rd Ed.: 25–41.

Soma T, Ishii H, Hara M, Ohe K, Hagimori I, Ishikawa Y and Taneno A. (2003) *Detection of canine distemper virus antigen in canine serum and its application to diagnosis. Vet Records* 18: 499–501.

Kudirkienė E., Žilinskas H. and Šiugždaitė J. (2006). Microbial flora of dogs eyes. *Veterinarija ir Zootechnika*. T. 34 (56). 2006.

Prado M.R., Brito E.H.S., Girão M.D., Sidrim J.J.C. and Rocha M.F.G. (2006). Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria isolated from corneal ulcers of dogs. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.* vol.58 no.6.

Junior A. Z., Freitas J. C., Zacarias F. G. S., Salvador R., Garcia J. L. (2012). Investigation of bacterial microbiota and risk factors in dogs with external ocular diseases from Bandeirantes, Paraná State, Brazil. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias, Londrina*, v. 33, suplemento 2, p. 3243-3250.

Zenad M. M., Badawi N. M. and Abdul-Raheem Abdul-Jalil Wali AR. A. J. (2015). Cross Sectional Study on Cutaneous Mycotic Infections of Dogs and Cats in Baghdad. *World Vet J*, 5(4): 66-73.

Qiu W., Zheng Y., Zhang S., Fan Q., Liu H., Zhang F., Wang W., Liao G., and Hu R. (2011). Canine Distemper Outbreak in Rhesus Monkeys, China. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* Vol. 17, No. 8:1541-1543.

Schultz R. M., Johnson E. G., Wisner E. R., Brown N. A., Byrne B. A., and Sykes J. E. (2008). Clinicopathologic and diagnostic imaging characteristics of systemic aspergillosis in 30 dogs. *J Vet Intern Med* 22:851-859.

Day M. J. (2006). Aspergillosis and Penicilliosis, p. 613-626. In: C. E. Greene (ed.), 3rd ed. Elsevier, St Louis, Missouri.

Malathi J, Madhavan HN, Therese KL and Joseph PR. (2003). A hospital based study on the prevalence of conjunctivitis due to *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Indian J Med Res.*; 117: 71-75.

Singer TR, Isenberg SJ and Apt L. (1988). Conjunctival anaerobic and aerobic bacterial flora in paediatric versus adult subjects. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 72: 448-451.

Gelatt K. N. (2000). *Canine Anterior Uvea: Diseases and Surgery: Essentials of Veterinary Ophthalmology*, Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company, P:197-225.

R.E. Matos, T. Jakuba, I. Mino, M. Fejsakova, A. Demeova, J. Kottferova (2015). Characteristics and risk factors of dog aggression in the Slovak Republic. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 60, (8): 432-445

Shabbir MZ, Rabbani M, Ahmad a, Ahmed a, Muhammad K, Anwar I (2010). Comparative evaluation of clinical samples from naturally infected dogs for early detection of canine distemper virus. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences* 34 (6), 547-552.

Carter M. E. and Quinn J. (2000). *Salmonella Infections in Dogs and Cats*, Chapter 14 Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin, Ballsbridge, Dublin 4, Ireland P: 231-244.

Quinn, P.J.; Carter, M.E.; Markey, B. and Carter, J.R. (2004). *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*. 6th Ed. Mosby Wolf, London.