AN ANALYSIS ON NUMERICAL METHODS FOR NON-LINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Shivalika
Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University,Gharuan
shivalika462@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Progression in innovation and engineering presents us with numerous difficulties, comparably to conquer such engineering difficulties with the assistance of various numerical models, equations are taken. Since in the first place Mathematicians, Designers and Engineers make progress toward accuracy what's more, exactness while addressing equations Differential equations, specifically, hold an enormous application in engineering and numerous different areas. One such sort of Differential equation is known as partial differential equation. The range of application of partial differential equations comprises of recreation, calculation age, and investigation of higher request PDE and wave equations. Adjusting diverse numerical methods prompts an assortment of answers and contrast among them, subsequently the determination of the method of addressing is one of the urgent boundaries to produce exact outcomes. Our work centres' around the survey of various numerical methods to settle Non-linear differential equations based on exactness and effectiveness, in order to diminish the emphases. These would orchestrate rules to existing numerical methods of nonlinear partial differential equations.[1]

KEYWORDS

Linear and Non-Linear partial differential equations, Finite Difference method, Numerical Methods, Finite Element Method, Finite volume method, Spectral Methods .

INTRODUCTION

All through the long haul, we have been instructed on the most ideal approach to settle conditions using distinctive mathematical strategies. These techniques fuse the substitution strategy and the end technique. Other logarithmic strategies that can be executed join the quadratic condition moreover, factorization. In Linear Algebra, we found that settling systems of direct conditions can be executed by using line decrease as a calculation. Regardless, when these techniques are not productive, we use the possibility of numerical strategies.

Mathematical strategies are used to surmised arrangements of conditions when exact arrangements can not be settled through logarithmic techniques. They create reformist approximations that converge to the particular arrangement of a condition or course of action of conditions. In Math 3351, we focused in on tending to nonlinear conditions including only a singular variable. We used techniques like Newton's strategy, the Secant technique, and the Bisection technique. We similarly investigated numerical strategies, for instance, the Runge-Kutta techniques, that are used to deal with early on worth issues for regular differential conditions. At any rate these issues just based on tending to nonlinear conditions with simply a solitary variable, rather than nonlinear conditions with a couple of elements.

The target of this paper is to see three changed numerical techniques that are utilized to settle structures of nonlinear conditions in a couple of components. The fundamental strategy we will look at is Newton's technique. This will be followed by Broyden's technique, which is sometimes called a Quasi-Newton strategy; it is gotten from Newton's technique. At last, we will consider the Finite Difference strategy that is used to deal with limit regard issues of nonlinear standard differential conditions. For each strategy, a breakdown of each numerical technique will be given.[2] Also, there will be some discussion of the association of the numerical strategies, similarly as the advantages and weaknesses of each strategy. After a discussion of all of the three techniques, we will use the PC program Matlab to settle a representation of a nonlinear normal differential condition using both the Finite Diffference strategy and Newton's strategy.

BACKGROUND

Partial Differential Equations give a quantitative description on various central models in natural, physical and human sciences. The portrayal is furnished with respect to cloud components of at any rate two free factors, and the association between partial subordinates in regards to those elements. A PDE is supposed to be nonlinear if the relations between the dark limits and their partial subordinates related with the condition are nonlinear. Notwithstanding the undeniable straightforwardness of the secret differential relations, nonlinear PDEs direct an enormous scope of complex wonders of development, reaction, scattering, equilibrium, conservation, and then some. In light of their basic occupation in science and planning, PDEs are thought extensively by topic specialists and experts. Without a doubt, these assessments found their way into various sections all through the sensible composition. They reflect a rich new development of numerical hypotheses and logical techniques to handle PDEs and edify the wonders they manage. Notwithstanding, quick hypotheses give simply a confined record for the assortment of complex wonders addressed by nonlinear PDEs.

Over the span of late years, coherent computation has emerged as the most versatile gadget to enhance theory and tests. Current numerical methods, explicitly those for settling nonlinear PDEs, are at the center of enormous quantities of these advanced sensible estimations. Indeed, numerical computations have not recently joined investigation and theory as one of the vital instruments of assessment, yet they have similarly changed such an examinations performed and have broadened the degree of speculation. This trade between estimation, speculation, and examinations was envisioned by John von Neumann, who in 1949 said that the whole enlisting machine is only a piece of more important point, specifically, of the fortitude molded by the handling machine, the numerical issues that go with it, and such a readiness which is called by both. Numerical plans of nonlinear PDEs were first positioned into usage in a long time, by von Neumann himself, during the 1940s as a segment of the contention effort. Starting now and into the foreseeable future, the happening to unbelievable PCs got together with the improvement of current numerical estimations has vexed science and development, comparative as the uprisings that followed the introduction of the amplifying focal point and telescope in the seventeenth century. Filled by present day numerical methods for tending to for nonlinear PDEs, a very surprising control of numerical environment assumption was moulded. Enactments of nuclear impacts replaced ground tests. Numerical methods replaced air streams in the arrangement of new planes. Understanding into fierce components and fractal lead was gained basically by repeating "computational examinations".

In this review we give a raised point of view on the progression of these numerical methods, with a particular emphasis on nonlinear PDEs where we make the run of the mill separation between two basic classes of cut-off regard issues and time-subordinate issues. These models fill in as a strong "stage" for our discussion on the turn of events, assessment and execution of numerical methods for the vague game plan of nonlinear PDEs. In fragment 3 we display the turn of events and execution of numerical methods in the particular condition of the sanctioned PDEs referred to already. Here, we revolve thought around the four key classes of numerical methods: limited contrast methods, limited component methods, limited volume methods, and unearthly methods. The confined degree of this review anticipates that we should settle on a selection of subjects; we chose to underline certain pieces of numerical methods identifying with the nonlinear character of the essential PDEs. In territory 4 we analyze the fundamental thoughts drew in with the examination of numerical methods: consistency, strength, and assembly. The numerical examination of these thoughts is really most likely known in the direct plan. Again, we chose to include here the assessment of numerical methods in the nonlinear game plan. Comparative as the speculation of nonlinear

PDEs, the numerical examination of their harsh courses of action is at this point a "work in progress".[3]

TYPES OF NUMERICAL METHODS

1) **FINITE-DIFFERENCE METHOD**: In numerical analysis, finite-difference methods (FDM) are those kinds of numerical procedures which help in settling differential equations by approximating subordinates with finite number of differences. The spatial presence stretch (if fitting) are broken or partitioned into a finite number of steps, and the worth obviously of activity at the discrete focuses is found by approximated by settling arithmetical equations including finite differences and qualities.

   Finite difference methods change ordinary or partial differential equations (ODE) or (PDE) whether it is non-linear, into a game plan for linear equations that can be handled by framework variable based numerical methodology. Current PCs can play out these direct factor based mathematical estimations capably which, close by their overall straightforwardness of execution, has incited the vast applications of Finite Difference Method in present day Numerical Analysis.[1] Today, Finite Difference Method are conceivably the most broadly perceived approaches to manage the numerical plan of PDE, close by FEM.[5]

2) **FINITE-VOLUME METHOD**: The FVM (or Finite Volume Method) is a sort of strategy which tends to or studies PDEs (fractional differential equations) as arithmetical equations . In the FVM (Finite Volume Method), volume integrals in a halfway differential condition that contain a difference term are changed over to surface integrals, utilizing the dissimilarity hypothesis. These terms are then assessed as developments at the outside of each finite volume. Since the development entering a given volume is muddled from that leaving the bordering volume, and thus, these strategies are traditionalist. Another benefit of the finite volume technique is that it is effectively point by highlight consider unstructured cross regions. The strategy is utilized in different computational liquid components packs. "Finite volume" implies the little volume encompassing each middle point on a cross section. [8]

   Finite volume methods can cut the mustard and separated from the finite difference methods, which inaccurate subordinates using nodal values, or finite element methods, which make close by approximations of an answer using neighborhood data, and build up an overall assessment by sewing them together. Curiously a finite volume method evaluates definite enunciations for the ordinary worth of the game plan over some volume, and usages this data to construct approximations of the course of action inside cells.[9]

3) **FINITE-ELEMENT METHOD**: The Finite Element Method(FEM) is a generally utilized method for numerically tending to differential equations arising in planning and numerical illustrating. Normal problem areas of premium join the standard fields of basic examination, heat move, fluid stream, mass vehicle, and electromagnetic potential. The FEM is a by and large numerical method for handling partial differential equations in a couple of room factors (i.e., some breaking point regard issues). To handle an issue, the FEM segments a colossal system into more unassuming, more direct parts that are called finite elements.This is refined by a particular space discretization in the space estimations, which is completed by the advancement of a grid of the thing: the numerical territory for the game plan, ehich has a finite number of core interests. The finite element method plan of a breaking point regard issue finally results in a course of action of numerical equations. The method approximates the dark limit over the space. The direct equations that model the finite elements are then assembled into a more noteworthy arrangement of equations that model the whole issue. The FEM by then uses variational methods from the math of varieties to disagreeable an answer by limiting an associated stir up work.[6]

4) **SPECTRAL METHOD**: Spectral methods are a type of techniques or methods which are used in applied science and coherent figuring to numerically address certain differential equations, possibly including the usage of the speedy Fourier change. The contemplation is to make the plan out of the differential condition as a measure of certain "premise capacities" (with respect to occurrence, as a Fourier course of action which is a measure of sinusoids) and a while later to pick the coefficients in the all out to satisfy the differential condition also as could be anticipated. [11]

Spectral methods and finite element methods are solidly related and dependent on comparative considerations; the major difference between them is that spectral methods use premise works that are nonzero absurd region, while finite element methods use premise works that are nonzero simply on little subdomains. Accordingly, spectral methods receive on an overall procedure while finite element methods use a close by methodology.[12] Partially along these lines, spectral methods have surprising screw up properties, with the indicated "momentous assembly" being the speediest, when the course of action is smooth. In any case, there are no known three-dimensional single space spectral shock getting results (paralyze waves are not smooth). In the finite element neighborhood, method where the level of the elements is high or augmentations as the organization limit h lessens to zero is a portion of the time called a spectral element method. [14]

The Spectral Methods can be used to settle Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations and Eigen-esteem issues including differential equations. While applying spectral methods to time-subordinate PDEs, the plan is usually made as a sum out of reason limits with time-subordinate coefficients; subbing this in the PDE yields a course of action of ODEs in the coefficients which can be tended to using any numerical method for ODEs. Eigen esteem issues for ODEs are in like manner changed over to organize Eigen esteem issues. [13]

Spectral methods were made in a long course of action of papers by Steven Orszag starting in 1969 including, yet not limited to, Fourier plan methods for discontinuous number related issues, polynomial spectral methods for finite and unbounded estimation issues, pseudo spectral methods for significantly nonlinear issues, and spectral accentuation methods for speedy game plan of steady state issues. The execution of the spectral method is conventionally refined either with collocation or a Galerkin or a Tau approach. [15]

Spectral methods are more reasonable than finite element methods computationally, but are less precise for the issues that require complex estimations and broken coefficients. This augmentation in botch is a consequence of the Gibbs phenomenon.[10]

COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL METHODS

FINITE ELEMENT METHOD Vs. FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD

The most engaging segment of the FEM is its ability to manage obfuscated calculations (and limits) gracefully. While FDM in its essential design is confined to manage the shapes that are rectangle shaped and basic modifications thereof, the treatment of calculations in Finite Element Method is speculatively clear. FDM isn't normally used for eccentric CAD estimations yet more regularly rectangular or block shaped models .[16] The potential gain of restricted differences is that it is not difficult to execute. There are a few different ways one could think about the FDM an uncommon instance of the FEM approach . For example, first-demand FEM is undefined from FDM for Poisson's equation, if the issue is discretized by a customary rectangular mesh with each square shape isolated into two triangles. There are motivations to consider the numerical foundation of the restricted segment surmise more solid, for example, on the grounds that the idea of the assessment between grid focuses is poor in FDM. The idea of a FEM assessment is consistently higher than in the relating FDM approach, yet this is very issue reliant and a few models really can be provided.[7]

FINITE VOLUME METHOD Vs. FINITE DIFFERENCE AND FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

FVMs can be compared and appeared differently in relation to the FDMs , which deduced subordinates utilizing nodal values, or FEMs , which make close by taking approximate values of an answer utilizing neighbourhood data, and develop an overall assessment by taking them together. [5]In contrast a finite volume procedure uses cautious vocalizations for the normal worth of the arrangement over some volume, and utilizations this data to develop approximations of the arrangement inside cells.[9]

SPECTRAL METHOD Vs. FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

Spectral Methods computationally are less expensive and hence, more affordable than FEMs, anyway but are not much precise for the problems having complex calculations and intermittent coefficients. This expansion in botch is a result of Gibbs marvel.[10]

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. **Gamet, L. and Ducros (1999)** In their examination paper the two of them learned about the creation of fourth-request reduced plan which helps in approximating first request subordinates of matrices that are non-uniform. They introduced mathematical investigation identified with truncation mistake. Convection condition for first subsidiary and dissemination condition for second subordinate and dispersion condition for second subsidiary is thought of. The capacity of cross section speculation (non-uniform) of reduced plans is exhibited to give the end-product. [17]

2. **Abarbane, S. and Ditkowski, A. (2000): They,** in their research paper, studied about the rate of convergence of error bounds of and temporal behaviour of FD approximations to PDEs.[20] Moreover, they studied about the dependence of the error bounds on mesh size and time In their study they determined that error bounds are dependent on the size of mesh and time. [18]

3. **Mickens, R. (2001)** this they gave a prologue to non-standard finite difference strategies. These strategies are valuable for making Differential Equations. In his paper, he clarified about the specific FD (finite difference) they are helpful to fabricate differential equations. In his paper, he depicted precise finite difference plot, likewise runs for developing non standard plan with its application.[19]

4. **Fukagata, K. and Kesagi, N. (2002)** both modified high conservative FDM for systems which are cylindrical in nature. They have shown that energy conservation in discretized space is satisfied when approximate interpolation schemes are used. This holds for cylindrical coordinate system both equally and unequally spaced mesh.[21]

5. **Farjadpur, A. and Roundy (2006)** Finite difference method for time domain is less accurate because of discretization , for irregular dielectric materials. In their paper, they show that

utilizing sub pixel smoothing can work on the precision of this strategy, in the event that it is appropriately planned. Likewise this plan achieves quadratic assembly.[22]

6. **Thankane, K.S. and stys, T. (2009)** in their article, they gave a short portrayal on successful calculations dependent on FD (Finite Difference) technique for straight and non-direct bar equations. Likewise they gave an investigation on union of algorithms.[23] Designing Mathematic Module gives the arrangement of number bar equations.

7. **Dolicanin, C.B. and Nicoloc, V.B. (2010)** in their research paper studied and found that to study the phenomena of thin plates, finite difference is used. In their research paper got that finite difference is utilized to examine the wonders of meager plates. FDM dependent on supplanting differential equation into differential equation. This strategy helps in tackling proficiently the issue of bowing flimsy plates. This method is very helpful and useful for moments, strain, stress, deflection, etc.

8. **Chambole, A. and Levine, S.E. (2011)** In their research paper, the investigation of finite difference approximations to the variety issues is done and examined. They give double plan for an upwind finite-difference strategy. They showed mathematically that the multi-scale technique is effective.[24] They give mathematical guides to delineation of subjective and quantitative conduct of the arrangements of mathematical plans.

9. **Bothyana, S.H. (2011)** used Adomian Decomposition Method in his research article to solve generalized Korteweg De Vries equations having boundary conditions.[25] The arrangement can be found in the series structure. Adomian polynomials of the acquired series arrangement have been assessed by numerical program.

10. **Gao, J. and Zhang, Y. (2013)** they made a staggered-grid FD(Finite Difference) scheme having precise request for permeable media. They use strategies on dispersion relation having accurate order for porous media. They use techniques on dispersion relation to find the order of accuracy. [26] The validity of scheme is given by the variation of parameters. Computational cost is less in this method without any loss of accuracy.

CONCLUSION

So based on correlation of NM (numerical methods) for addressing non-linear PDEs, we finish up different outcomes in this paper.[27] The most captivating piece of the FEM is its capacity to oversee tangled calculations (and limits) smoothly.[28] While FDM in its essential design is limited to oversee the shapes that are rectangular and basic modifications thereof , the treatment of calculations in FEM is theoretically clear[29] . FDM isn't normally used for unpredictable CAD calculations yet more regularly rectangular or block molded models. The potential gain of limited differences is that it is not difficult to execute.[30] There are a few different ways one could consider the unique instance of the FEM approach. E.g., first-demand FEM is vague from FDM for Poisson's equation, if the issue is discretized by a standard rectangular mesh with each square shape isolated into two triangles.[31] There are motivations to consider the numerical foundation of the limited portion surmise more solid, for example, in light of the fact that the possibility of the assessment between cross segment focuses is poor in FDM.[32] The possibility of FEM assessment is regularly higher than in the relating FDM approach, yet this is a very issue reliant and a few models truly can be given [4] Finite volume methods can cut the mustard and diverged from the finite difference methods, which induced subsidiaries utilizing nodal values, or finite element methods, which make nearby approximations of an answer utilizing neighbourhood information, and develop a general assessment by sewing them together.[33] Conversely a finite volume method assesses wary articulations for the ordinary worth of the arrangement over some volume, and utilizations this information to develop approximations of the arrangement inside cells.[34] Spectral methods are computationally more affordable than finite element methods however become less cautious for issues with complex calculations and irregular coefficients. This increment in botch is an outcome of the Gibbs wonder.[35]

REFERENCES

1. E. Fan and Y. C. Hon, “Generalized tanh method extended to special types of nonlinear equations,” *Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 692–700, 2002.
2. A.-M. Wazwaz, “The extended tanh method for new solitons solutions for many forms of the fifth-order KdV equations,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 184, no. 2, pp. 1002–1014, 2007.
3. J.-H. He and L.-N. Zhang, “Generalized solitary solution and compacton-like solution of the Jaulent-Miodek equations using the Exp-function method,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 372, no. 7, pp. 1044–1047, 2008.
4. R. Conte and M. Musette, “Link between solitary waves and projective Riccati equations,” *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 25, no. 21, pp. 5609–5623, 1992.
5. W.-X. Ma and M. Chen, “Direct search for exact solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 8, pp. 2835–2842, 2009.
6. C. A. Gómez, A. H. Salas, and B. Acevedo Frias, “Exact solutions to KdV6 equation by using a new approach of the projective Riccati equation method,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 797084, 10 pages, 2010.
7. A. Scott, *Neuroscience-A Mathematical Primer*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA, 2002.
8. L. V. Yakushevich, *Nonlinear Physics of DNA*, Wiley, NewYork, NY, USA, 1998.
9. W. X. Ma, H. Wu, and J. He, “Partial differential equations possessing Frobenius integrable decompositions,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 364, no. 1, pp. 29–32, 2007.
10. W. Ma, “Comment on the 3+1 dimensional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 2663–2666, 2011.
11. W. X. Ma and J. H. Lee, “A transformed rational function method and exact solutions to the 3 + 1 dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation,” *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 1356–1363, 2009.

12. W. X. Ma, T. Huang, and Y. Zhang, “A multiple exp-function method for nonlinear differential equations and its application,” *Physica Scripta*, vol. 82, no. 6, Article ID 065003, 2010.
13. W. X. Ma, X. Gu, and L. Gao, “A note on exact solutions to linear differential equations by the matrix exponential,” *Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 573–580, 2009.
14. W. X. Ma and E. Fan, “Linear superposition principle applying to Hirota bilinear equations,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 950–959, 2011.
15. A. Salas, “Some solutions for a type of generalized Sawada-Kotera equation,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 196, no. 2, pp. 812–817, 2008.
16. A. H. Salas, O. G. Hurtado, and J. E. Castillo, “Computing multi-soliton solutions to Caudrey-Dodd- Gibbon equation by hirota's method,” *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 34, pp. 7729–7737, 2011.
17. A. H. Salas, C. A. Gómez S, and B. Acevedo Frias, “Computing exact solutions to a generalized Lax-Sawada-Kotera-Ito seventh-order KdV equation,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 524567, 7 pages, 2010.
18. A. H. Salas and C. A. Gómez S, “Exact solutions for a third-order KdV equation with variable coefficients and forcing term,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 737928, 13 pages, 2009.
19. A. H. Salas and C. A. Gómez S., “Application of the Cole-Hopf transformation for finding exact solutions to several forms of the seventh-order KdV equation,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 194329, 14 pages, 2010.
20. C. A. Gómez and A. H. Salas, “Exact solutions for the generalized BBM equation with variable coefficients,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2010, Article ID 498249, 10 pages, 2010.
21. A. Salas, C. A. Gómez, and J. Gonzalo Escobar Lugo, “Exact solutions for the general fifth order KdV equation by the extended tanh method,” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 305–310, 2008.
22. C. A. Gómez and A. H. Salas, “Exact solutions for a new integrable system (KdV6),” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 401–413, 2008.
23. A. Salas and C. Gómez, “A practical approach to solve coupled systems of nonlinear PDE's,” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 101–107, 2009.
24. C. A. Gomez and A. Salas, “Solutions for a class of fifth-order nonlinear partial differential system,” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 121–128, 2009.
25. A. H. Salas, “Symbolic computation of solutions for a forced Burgers equation,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 18–26, 2010.
26. A. H. Salas, “Exact solutions for the general fifth KdV equation by the exp function method,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 205, no. 1, pp. 291–297, 2008.
27. C. A. Gómez and A. H. Salas, “The Cole-Hopf transformation and improved tanh-coth method applied to new integrable system (KdV6),” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 204, no. 2, pp. 957–962, 2008.
28. A. H. Salas, C. A. Gomez S., and J. E. Castillo Hernańdez, “New abundant solutions for the Burgers equation,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 514–520, 2009.

29. C. A. Gómez and A. H. Salas, “The generalized tanh-coth method to special types of the fifth-order KdV equation,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 873–880, 2008.
30. A. H. Salas, “New solutions to Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation by the Riccati equation expansion method,” *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1169–1177, 2009.
31. C. A. Gómez, “Special forms of the fifth-order KdV equation with new periodic and soliton solutions,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 189, no. 2, pp. 1066–1077, 2007.
32. C. A. Gómez and A. H. Salas, “Exact solutions for a reaction-diffusion equation by using the generalized tanh method,” *Scientia et Technica, Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira, Risaralda-Colombia*, vol. 13, no. 035, pp. 409–410, 2007.
33. C. A. Gómez and A. H. Salas, “The variational iteration method combined with improved generalized tanh-coth method applied to Sawada-Kotera equation,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 4, pp. 1408–1414, 2010.
34. C. A. Gómez and A. Salas, “Special symmetries to standard Riccati equations and applications,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 10, pp. 3089–3096, 2010.
35. C. A. Gómez, A. H. Salas, and B. Acevedo Frias, “New periodic and soliton solutions for the Generalized BBM and Burgers-BBM equations,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 4, pp. 1430–1434, 2010.