Doubly-Connected Dominating Energy of Graphs

Shailaja S. Shirkol^a, Preeti B. Jinagouda^b and A. R. Desai^c

^{a,b,c} Department of Mathematics, SDM College of Engineering and Technology Dharwad, Karnataka

e-mail^a: shailajashirkol@gmail.com

e-mail^b: preetijinagouda@gmail.com

 $e\text{-mail}^c$: ardesai69@rediffmail.com

Abstract

A connected dominating set D is said to be doubly-connected dominating set if the subgraph induced by the set V - D is connected. In this paper, we have defined a matrix called the doubly connected dominating matrix and obtained the the corresponding spectra and energy. Further, we have obtained the chemical applicability of the doubly connected energy followed by the mathematical properties.

Keywords: Dominating set, Energy, Dominating energy, doubly-Dominating energy.

Ams Subject Classification: 05C69; 05C90; 05C35; 05C12.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. In particular, these graphs do not have loops. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with the vertex set V(G) = $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ and the edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_m\}$, that is |V(G)| = nand |E(G)| = m. The vertex u and v are adjacent if $uv \in E(G)$. The open(closed) neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $N(v) = \{u : uv \in E(G)\}$ and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ respectively. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $d_G(v)$ and is defined as $d_G(v) = |N(v)|$. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is pendant if |N(v)| = 1 and is called supporting vertex if it is adjacent to pendant vertex. Any vertex $v \in V(G)$ with |N(v)| > 1 is called internal vertex. If $d_G(v) = r$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then G is called r-regular. If r = 2 then it is called cycle graph C_n and for r = 3 it is called the cubic graph. A graph G is unicyclic if |V| = |E|. A graph G is called a block graph, if every block in G is a complete graph. For undefined terminologies we refer the reader to [16]. Journal of University of Shanghai for Science and Technology

ISSN: 1007-6735

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called dominating set if N[D] = V(G). The minimum cardinality of such a set D is called the domination number $\gamma(G)$ of G. A dominating set D is connected if the subgraph induced by D is connected. The minimum cardinality of connected dominating set D is called the connected dominating number $\gamma_c(G)$ of G. A connected dominating set D is said to be doubly-connected dominating set if the subgraph induced by the set V - D is connected. The minimum cardinality of such set is called the doubly connected domination number. It is denoted by $\gamma_{cc}(G)$. [27].

The energy E(G) of a graph G is equal to the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of G. This quantity, introduced almost 30 years ago [13] and having a clear connection to chemical problems [15], has in newer times attracted much attention of mathematicians and mathematical chemists [3,8–12,20,22–24,28,30,31].

In connection with energy (that is defined in terms of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix), energy-like quantities were considered also for the other matrices: Laplacian [15], distance [17], incidence [18], minimum covering energy [1] etc. Recall that a great variety of matrices has so far been associated with graphs [4,5,10,29].

Recently in [25] the authors have studied the dominating matrix which is defined as : Let G = (V, E) be a graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and let $D \subseteq V(G)$ be a minimum dominating set of G. The minimum dominating matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by $A_D(G) = (a_{ij})$, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ or $v_i = v_j \in D$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ if $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$.

The characteristic polynomial of $A_D(G)$ is denoted by $f_n(G, \mu) := det(\mu I - A_D(G))$.

The minimum dominating eigenvalues of a graph G are the eigenvalues of $A_D(G)$. Since $A_D(G)$ is real and symmetric, its eigenvalues are real numbers and we label them in non-increasing order $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n$. The minimum dominating energy of G is then defined as

$$E_D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|.$$

Motivated by dominating matrix, here we define the minimum doubly-connected dominating matrix abbreviated as (c-dominating matrix). The c-dominating matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by $A_{D_{cc}}(G) = (a_{ij})$, where Journal of University of Shanghai for Science and Technology

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } v_i \in D_{cc}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $A_{D_{cc}}(G)$ is denoted by $f_n(G, \lambda) := det(\lambda I - A_{D_{cc}}(G))$.

The doubly-connected-dominating eigenvalues of a graph G are the eigenvalues of $A_{D_{c}c}(G)$. Since $A_{D_{c}c}(G)$ is real and symmetric, its eigenvalues are real numbers and we label them in non-increasing order $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. The doubly-connected-dominating energy of G is then defined as

$$E_{D_cc}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|.$$

To illustrate this, consider the following examples:



Figure 1.

Example 1. Let G be a graph with vertices $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and let its minimum doublyconnected dominating set be $D_{cc} = \{a, b, c\}$. Then

$$A_{D_{cc}}(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $A_{D_{cc}}(G)$ is $\lambda^5 - 3\lambda^4 - \lambda^3 + 6\lambda^2 - 2\lambda = 0$. The minimum doubly-connected dominating eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 2.61803$, $\lambda_2 = 1.41421$, $\lambda_3 = 0.38196$, $\lambda_4 = 0.000$ and $\lambda_5 = -1.41421$.

Therefore, the minimum doubly-connected dominating energy is $E_{D_{cc}}(G) = 5.8355$.

In this paper, we are interested in studying the mathematical aspects of the cdominating energy of a graph. This paper has organized as follows: The section 1,

Volume 23, Issue 9, September - 2021

contains the basity definitions and background definitions of the chemical applicability of c-dominating energy for molecular graphs G. The section 3, contains the mathematical properties of c-dominating energy. In the last section, we have characterized, trees, unicyclic graphs and cubic graphs and block graphs with equal minimum dominating energy and c-dominating energy. Finally, we conclude this paper by posing an open problem.

2 Chemical Applicability of $E_{D_{cc}}(G)$

We have used the doubly-dominating energy for modeling eight representative physical properties like boiling points(bp), molar volumes(mv) at 20°C, molar refractions(mr) at 20°C, heats of vaporization (hv) at 25°C, critical temperatures(ct), critical pressure(cp) and surface tension (st) at 20°C of the 74 alkanes from ethane to nonanes. Values for these properties were taken from http://www.moleculardescriptors.eu/dataset.htm. The doubly-dominating energy $E_{D_{cc}}(G)$ was correlated with each of these properties and surprisingly, we can see that the $E_{D_{cc}}$ has a good correlation with the critical temperature of alkanes with correlation coefficient r = 0.896.

The following structure-property relationship model has been developed for the doubly connected-dominating energy $E_{D_{cc}}(G)$.

$$ct = 135.128 + [E(D_{cc})(G)]4.317$$
(1)

$$ct = 10.791[E(D_{cc})(G)]^2 - 0.0101[E(D_{cc})(G)] + 70.999$$
(2)

$$ct = -53.591 + \ln[E(D_{cc})(G)]100.568 \tag{3}$$

Journal of University of Shanghai for Science and Fechnology



Figure 3: Correlation of $E_{D_{cc}}(G)$ with critical temperature of alkanes.

3 Mathematical Properties of Doubly Connected-Dominating Energy of Graph

We begin with the following straightforward observations.

Observation 1. Note that the trace of $A_{D_cc}(G) = \gamma_c c(G)$.

Observation 2. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with $\gamma_c c$ -set $D_c c$. Let $f_n(G, \lambda) = c_0 \lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n$ be the characteristic polynomial of G. Then

1.
$$c_0 = 1$$
,

2.
$$c_1 = -|D_c c| = -\gamma_c c(G)$$
.

Theorem 3. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $A_{D_{cc}}(G)$, then

1.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \gamma_c c(G)$$

2.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = 2m + \gamma_c c(G).$$

Proof.

1. Follows from Observation 1.

Jou2na II dfd sinnersity squares lof the Seigenvalue schedule (G) is just the trace of SAL (G)-6735

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} a_{ji}$$

= $2 \sum_{i < j} (a_{ij})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ii})^2$
= $2m + \gamma_c c(G).$

We now obtain bounds for $E_{D_{cc}}(G)$ of G, similar to McClelland's inequalities [21] for graph energy.

Theorem 4. Let G be a graph of order n and size m with $\gamma_{cc}(G) = k$. Then

$$E_{D_cc}(G) \leq \sqrt{n(2m+k)}.$$
(4)

Proof. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $A_{D_{cc}}(G)$. Bearing in mind the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i\right)^2$$

we choose $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = |\lambda_i|$, which by Theorem 3 implies

$$E_{D_cc}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|\right)^2$$

$$\leq n\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2\right)$$

$$= n\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2$$

$$= 2(2m+k).$$

Theorem 5. Let G be a graph of order n and size m with $\gamma_c c(G) = k$. Let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ be a non-increasing arrangement of eigenvalues of $A_{D_cc}(G)$. Then

$$E_{D_{cc}}(G) \geq \sqrt{2mn + nk - \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2}$$
(5)

where $\alpha(n) = n[\frac{n}{2}](1 - \frac{1}{n}[\frac{n}{2}])$, where [x] denotes the integer part of a real number k.

Volume 23, Issue 9, September - 2021

Page-717

Broafil diffunctions of Shangand for Science and Technealogyumbers for which there series a, b, A and B, so that for each $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, a \le a_i \le A$ and $b \le b_i \le B$. Then the following inequality is valid (see [6]).

$$|n\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_{i}| \leq \alpha(n)(A-a)(B-b),$$
(6)

where $\alpha(n) = n[\frac{n}{2}](1 - \frac{1}{n}[\frac{n}{2}])$. Equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n$ and $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_n$.

We choose $a_i := |\lambda_i|, b_i := |\lambda_i|, a = b := |\lambda_n|$ and $A = B := |\lambda_1|, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, inequality (4) becomes

$$|n\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\lambda_{i}|^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\lambda_{i}|\right)^{2}| \leq \alpha(n)(|\lambda_{1}| - |\lambda_{n}|)^{2}.$$
(7)

Since $E_{G_{cc}}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|, \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 = 2m + k$ and $E_{D_{cc}}(G) \leq \sqrt{n(2m+k)}$, the inequality (5) becomes

$$n(2m+k) - (E_{D_{cc}})^2 \leq \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2$$
$$(E_{D_{cc}})^2 \geq 2mn + nk - \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2.$$

Hence equality holds if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n$.

Corollary 6. Let G be a graph of order n and size m with $\gamma_c c(G) = k$. Let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ be a non-increasing arrangement of eigenvalues of $A_{D_cc}(G)$. Then

$$E_{D_{cc}}(G) \geq \sqrt{2mn + nk - \frac{n^2}{4}(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2}.$$
(8)

Proof. Since $\alpha(n) = n[\frac{n}{2}](1 - \frac{1}{n}[\frac{n}{2}]) \le \frac{n^2}{4}$, therefore by (3), result follows.

Theorem 7. Let G be a graph of order n and size m with $\gamma_c(G) = k$. Let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ be a non-increasing arrangement of eigenvalues of $A_{D_{cc}}(G)$. Then

$$E_{G_cc}(G) \geq \frac{|\lambda_1||\lambda_2|n+2m+k}{|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_n|}.$$
(9)

Proof. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n be real numbers for which there exist real constants r and R so that for each $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ holds $ra_i \leq b_i \leq Ra_i$. Then the following inequality is valid (see [11]).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 + rR \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \leq (r+R) \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i.$$
(10)

Volume 23, Issue 9, September - 2021

Page-718

Equality $b\ln(e)$ share and $share bis conditions and <math>share bis conditions and <math>ra_i = b_i sin Ra_i - b_i sin$ For $b_i := |\lambda_i|$, $a_i := 1$ $r := |\lambda_n|$ and $R := |\lambda_1|$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ inequality (8) becomes

$$\sum_{i=n}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_1| |\lambda_n| \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 \le (|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_n|) \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|.$$
(11)

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = 2m + k$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i| = E_{D_cc}(G)$, from inequality (9), $2m + k + |\lambda_1||\lambda_n|n < (\lambda_1 + \lambda_n)E_{Dec}(G)$

$$2m + k + |\lambda_1| |\lambda_n| n \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_n) E_{D_c c}(0)$$

Hence the result.

Theorem 8. Let G be a graph of order n and size m with $\gamma_{cc}(G) = k$. If $\xi = |det A_{D_c}(G)|$, then

$$E_{D_cc}(G) \ge \sqrt{2m+k+n(n-1)\xi^{\frac{2}{n}}}.$$
 (12)

Proof.

$$(E_{D_{cc}}(G))^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|\right)^2$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i| |\lambda_j|.$$

Employing the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\sum_{i\neq j}|\lambda_i||\lambda_j| \geq \left(\prod_{i\neq j}|\lambda_i||\lambda_j|\right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}.$$

Thus,

$$(E_{D_{cc}})^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_{i}|^{2} + n(n-1) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} |\lambda_{i}| |\lambda_{j}|\right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_{i}|^{2} + n(n-1) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} |\lambda_{i}|^{2(n-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}$$

$$= 2m + k + n(n-1)\xi^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Lemma 9. If $\lambda_1(G)$ is the largest minimum doubly-connected dominating eigenvalue of $A_{D_cc}(G)$, then $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{2m + \gamma_c c(G)}{n}$.

Broad diet no even being the production ce The flew balance $\lambda_1(A) = max_{X\neq 0} \{ \frac{X'AX}{X'X} \}$ is early the set of the flew balance in the flew balance is a set of the flew balance is a Therefore, $\lambda_1(A_{D_c}(G)) \ge \frac{J'AJ}{J'J} = \frac{2m + \gamma_c c(G)}{n}$.

Next, we obtain Koolen and Moulton's [19] type inequality for $E_{D_cc}(G)$.

Theorem 10. If G is a graph of order n and size m and $2m + \gamma_c c(G) \ge n$, then

$$E_{D_{cc}}(G) \leq \frac{2m + \gamma_{c}c(G)}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1)\left[(2m + \gamma_{c}c(G)) - \left(\frac{2m + \gamma_{c}c(G)}{n}\right)^{2}\right]}.$$
 (13)

Proof. Bearing in mind the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\right)^2.$$

Put $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = |\lambda_i|$ then

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i b_i\right)^2 \leq (n-1) \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_i\right)^2$$
$$(E_{D_cc}(G) - \lambda_1)^2 \leq (n-1)(2m + \gamma_c(G) - \lambda_1^2)$$
$$E_{D_cc}(G) \leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt{(n-1)(2m + \gamma_c(G) - \lambda_1^2)}.$$

Let

$$f(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1)(2m + \gamma_c c(G) - x^2)}.$$
(14)

For decreasing function

$$f'(x) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{x(n-1)}{\sqrt{(n-1)(2m+\gamma_c c(G) - x^2)}} \leq 0$$

$$x \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m+\gamma_c c(G)}{n}}.$$

Since $(2m+k) \geq n$, we have $\sqrt{\frac{2m+\gamma_c c(G)}{n}} \leq \frac{2m+\gamma_c c(G)}{n} \leq \lambda_1$. Also $f(\lambda_1) \leq f\left(\frac{2m+\gamma_c c(G)}{n}\right)$.
i.e $E_{D_c c}(G) \leq f(\lambda_1) \leq f\left(\frac{2m+\gamma_c c(G)}{n}\right)$.
i.e $E_{D_c c}(G) \leq f\left(\frac{2m+\gamma_c c(G)}{n}\right)$.
Hence by (12), the result follows.

Hence by (12), the result follows.

We conclude this paper by posing the following open problem for the researchers: **Open Problem:** Construct non- cospectral graphs with unequal dominating, connected dominating and total dominating energy with respect to doubly-connected dominating energy.

- C. Adiga, A. Bayad, I. Gutman and S. Srinivas, *The minimum covering energy of a graph*, Kragujevac Journal of Science 34 (2012), 39–56.
- [2] S.Arumugam, J. Paulraj Joseph, On graphs with equal domination and connected domination numbers, Discrete Math. 206 (1999) 45-49.
- [3] A. Aslam, S. Ahmad, M. A. Binyamin, W. Gao, Calculating topological indices of certain OTIS Interconnection networks, Open Chemistry, 2019, 17, 220228.
- [4] A. Balban, Chemical applications of graph theory, Academic Press (1976).
- [5] R. Bapat, *Graphs and Matrices*, Hindustan Book Agency (2011).
- [6] M. Biernacki, H. Pidek and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, Sur une inégalité entre des intégrales définies, Univ. Marie Curie-Sktoodowska A4 (1950), 1–4.
- [7] X. Chen, L. Sun and H. Xing, Characterization of graphs with equal domination and connected domination numbers, Discrete Math. 289(2004) 129–135.
- [8] C. Coulson and G. Rushbrooke, Note on the method of molecular orbitals, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 36 (1940), 193–200.
- [9] V. Consonni and R. Todeschini, New spectral index for molecule description, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 60 (2008), 3–14.
- [10] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić, *Eigenspaces of Graphs*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [11] J. Diaz and F. Metcalf, Stronger forms of a class of inequalities of G. Pólya-G.Szegö and L. V. Kantorovich, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 69 (1963), 415–418.
- [12] W. Gao, Z. Iqbal, M. Ishaq, A. Aslam, R. Sarfraz, Topological aspects of dendrimers via distance based descriptors, IEEE Access, 2019, 7(1), 3561935630.
- [13] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math.-Statist. Sekt. Forschungszentrum Graz 103 (1978), 1–22.

[b4]nII GilfmarrandoOSIRolansiky, MathematičackGoncepts in Organic Chemistrly, Springer-6735 Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

- [15] I. Gutman and B. Zhou, Laplacian energy of a graph, Linear Algebra and its Applications 414 (2006), 29–37.
- [16] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison–Wesely, Reading, 1969.
- [17] G. Indulal, I. Gutman and A. Vijayakumar, On distance energy of graphs, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 60 (2008), 461–472.
- [18] M. Jooyandeh, D. Kiani and M. Mirzakhah, *Incidence energy of a graph*, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 62 (2009), 561–572.
- [19] J. Koolen and V. Moulton, Maximal energy graphs, Advances in Applied Mathematics 26 (2001), 47–52.
- [20] J. Liu and B. Liu, A Laplacian-energy like invariant of a graph, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 59 (2008), 355–372.
- [21] B. McClelland, Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: The estimation of π electron energies, The Journal of Chemical Physics 54 (1971), 640–643.
- [22] I. Milovanovć, E. Milovanovć and A. Zakić, A short note on graph energy, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 72 (2014), 179–182.
- [23] M. Naeem, M. K. Siddiqui, J. L. G. Guirao, W. Gao, New and modified eccentric indices of octagonal grid O^m_n, 2018, 3, 209228.
- [24] J. Rada, Energy ordering of catacondensed hexagonal systems, Discrete Applied Mathematics 145 (2005), 437–443.
- [25] M. Rajesh Kanna, B. Dharmendra and G. Sridhara, *The minimum dominating energy of a graph*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 85 (2013), 707–718.
- [26] H. Sachs, beziehungen zwischen den in einem graphen enthaltenen kreisen und seinem charakteristischen polynom, Ibid. 11 (1963), 119–134.

- [27]n. Sampathiyum Shangda Hor Walikar an The domination number 185 No. 500746735 Journal of Mathematical and Physical Sciences 13 (1979), 607–613.
- [28] I. Shparlinski, On the energy of some circulant graphs, Linear Algebra and its Applications 414 (2006), 378–382.
- [29] N. Trinajstić, Chemical graph theory, CRC Press (1992).
- [30] A. R. Virk, M, Quraish, Some invariants of flower graph, Appl. Math. Nonl. Sc., 2018, 3, 427432
- [31] B. Zhou, Energy of a graph, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 51 (2004), 111–118.